

# A Systematic Literature Review on Utilization of Gamification in Nursing

Ji Won Oak

*Department of Nursing, Tongmyong University, Busan, Korea*  
*jiwonoak@gmail.com*

## **Abstract**

*This paper investigates the nursing research related to gamification and analyzes the contents and effects of the experimental study to examine its impact. A systematic literature review was conducted. The articles were searched electronically using the database with the keywords “gamification,” “gamified,” and “nursing.” Finally, six studies were selected among 80 studies. The study was primarily conducted by healthcare professionals, including nursing students (n=4) and education subjects (n=5). ‘Reward’ was the most common gamification mechanism used in the study, and all studies were combined with various gamification mechanisms. In nursing education, where participation and motivation of the subject are essential, gamification can play an important role in the educational effect. Nursing researchers' interest in gamification is needed in the future, and it is necessary to develop scenarios suitable for educational situations and to check their effectiveness.*

**Keywords:** *Gamification, Nursing, Education, Systematic, Literature, Review*

## **1. Introduction**

The gamification announced in 2010 is a motivation method widely used in digital games, applying game mechanisms to promote people's interest and immersion in activities [1][2]. Game mechanics and game thinking used to create game fun, such as score, level up, ranking, competition, challenge performance, and reward, commonly seen in digital games, induce participants' interest and motivation and promote immersion to improve the effectiveness of education [3]. When gamification is applied to education, it is not just an incomplete concept that gives points, rewards, and badges to the learning experience, but it also uses game-based skills and thinking to attract people, motivates them, and helps solve problems [4]. Therefore, in nursing education, students will find out their problems by analyzing and evaluating their nursing practice. Students will also be encouraged to practice their skills regularly, promote interest and involvement, and encourage active self-directed learning. It is expected that students will be able to receive enhanced nursing education by improving their self-directed ability and self-directed learning ability.

Thus, the application of gamification in nursing education is meaningful as one of the new educational methods. Still, it has yet to be activated and reported in Korea. Therefore, it is necessary to confirm the current status of gamification in nursing education and to examine its effect. This study aimed to investigate gamification's effects on nursing research and

---

### **Article history:**

Received (September 2, 2017), Review Result (November 6, 2017), Accepted (December 10, 2017)

analyze the effects and interventions of experimental research to examine its impact. This study aims to contribute to the development of nursing research by increasing understanding and interest in gamification applied to nursing research and suggesting ways of using gamification in nursing science.

## 2. Data collection and analysis methods

In this study, we selected the experimental research which confirmed the effect of gamification among the gamification-related studies in nursing.

From May 16 to May 31, 2017, we used the keywords “gamification” or “gamified” and “nursing”. Data were collected by searching six databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Science Direct, EBSCO, and Google Scholar). There was no publication date limitation, and only English journals were selected for research. The selection criteria for the paper are as follows: (1) At least one gamified approach was included. (2) The research was qualitative or quantitative. (3) This study was original and was not a review of other studies.

A total of 80 studies were conducted on gamification in nursing. Of these, 52 kinds of research were extracted, excluding 13 duplicates and 15 non-original texts. In addition, 33 no-intervention types of research, one non-English journal, and 22 non-nursing kinds of research were excluded, and the final six types of research were selected [Figure 1].

The results of the study were analyzed based on the guidelines for the review of systematic literature, which was proposed in 2008, and the content of arbitration and the effects of arbitration were analyzed based on the guidelines of the systematic reviews and meta-analysis [5].




Figure 1. Flowchart of study inclusion

### 3. Results

Six papers were selected for final analysis. Research has been published in Europe and the Americas, all since 2016. The study subjects were healthcare professionals, including nursing students (n=4). The subjects were the most educated subjects (n=5), of which there were two studies to change habits [Table 1].

Table 1. Purpose and target groups

No	Reference, Country	Purpose	Target group
1	Ingadottir etc. (2017), Iceland [9]	To learn about postoperative pain management	20 surgical patients: Postoperative pain; Facebook advertisement (n=11), snowball method (n=9)
2	Lapão etc. (2016), Portugal [10]	To improve nurses' hand hygiene compliance in an ICU ward	Four nurses from the ICU ward
3	Trimblett (2016), Canada [11]	To jurisprudence education of LPNs	189 LPNs: Exp. group (n=88), Con. Group (n=101)
4	Mawhirter and Garofalo (2016), USA [12]	To engage students and encourage reflective self-assessment of skills	Eighteen nursing students: the 1st. semester (n=7), the 4th. (n=11)
5	González-Salvado etc. (2016), Spain [13]	To improve hands-only CPR among untrained citizens	155: laypeople (n=81), health care professionals (n=74)
6	Gonzalez etc. (2015), Spain [14]	To improve healthy lifestyle habits	20 children with a BMI indicative of overweight: aged 8-12/ Exp. group (11), Con. group (9)

As for the intervention methods, five studies were mainly single-ended studies, and 16-week studies were conducted. The platform used in the intervention was the most popular game format (n=3). The intervention effect was confirmed in all studies [Table 2].

The mechanism of gamification used in the study was 'Reward,' which was used in section 6, followed by 'Point.' Six studies were conducted by introducing three to eight gamification mechanisms.

### 4. Conclusion

A total of 80 papers were searched in the nursing field, and many of these studies were confirmed to research the contents of scenarios to explain or apply the concept of gamification rather than experimental research. In addition, there were only six papers that were searched in the field of nursing, but medical research or research nursing students were excluded by the control group, which included college students of various majors. The concept of gamification is a new concept introduced in 2010, and gamification is essential in increasing positive effects such as motivation and participation rate by using the game's advantages. Research in the field of nursing has been reported since 2016, suggesting that there will be more studies applying gamification in the field of nursing.

Table 2. Intervention effects

No.	Intervention	Effects
1	Serious game (Postoperative Pain Management Game, 90min) on Tablet	A serious game can be an efficient method to learn about pain management; it can improve knowledge and is appreciated by users.
2	Twenty-six beacons fixed in the rooms' doors, in each alcohol-based hand rub container, in each sink, and in each bed. Smart tags carried by nurses.	The simulation in a non-clinical setting by the researchers presented good results. The system worked according to our expectations, returning a hand hygiene (HH) compliance rate of 100%.
3	The CLPNBC portrayed many nursing situations through recorded scenes played by real-life actors and video scripts with voiceovers (Jurisprudence Pilot Project). One group was given the gamified education before moving on to the exam, while the other group received no education and went straight to the exam.	Three different elements of gamification can lead to engagement: immersion, intrinsic and extrinsic motivation, and competition. Gamification positively influenced some of the LPNs to change their attitudes and behaviors around their nursing practice.
4	Patient safety simulation Each team (two or three) performed three real-time, high-definition simulations using a human patient simulator. The 1st. round scenario (60 seconds, patient safety themed) The 2nd. second (5 minutes, wound drainage and medication calculation) The 3rd. round (15 minutes to complex awareness, arrhythmia recognition, and patient rescue)	One hundred percent of the participants expressed that the learning experience was beneficial and engaging and decreased their fear of the unexpected. All students expressed increased readiness for the clinical experience.
5	Training: very brief practical training (b5 minutes): using Laerdal Skill Reporter software on a manikin Test: During a 2-minute chest compression (CC) test	As previously described, CC-CPR performance assessed by the QCPR formula was above the 70% goal for both groups. The HCP scores were statistically significantly higher, but the scores of the percentage of CCs with full-chest recoil were not statistically significant. Still, the scores of the laypeople were higher.
6	The training program consists of 16 group sessions (90 min) a) Group sessions for children in a school setting (90 min, twice a week): training (30 min), motor games (40 min), and TANGO: active videogame (20 min) twice a week. b) Individual sessions for children at home (45 min, twice a week): commercial active Wii Fit Plus videogame (30 min) and collaborative multiplayer online videogame (Pirate Island e 15 min). c) Family training (90 min).	Increased motivation through the gamification intervention program can be checked by the results obtained on the emotions of the Emodiana, plus the degree of compliance with the activities planned for the home. Improved healthy lifestyle through a program that combines training, motor play, and active video games, both at home and at school; there was an improvement in the lifestyle of the children through our intervention program, as we see an improvement in the quality index of the Mediterranean diet (KIDMED) in the experimental group versus the control group.

Research using gamification requires a multidisciplinary approach, and research combining quantitative and qualitative research needs to be activated [6]. In the study analyzed in this study, there were two studies of the mixed method, including the qualitative research method, such as the interview, and the process of asking the opinion of the subject was added in the quantitative research.

In this study, the gamification mechanism analysis was conducted based on the guidelines of the gay mission mechanism proposed by Bunchball [7], a leading US gaming company. As

a result of this study, it was confirmed that 3 to 8 elements of gamification were applied in the program composition. ‘Reward’ is a factor used in all studies. ‘Reward’ is a factor to stimulate the participation rate by stimulating human needs or desires. It is used to promote the need for the target in the nursing field research and to increase the participation rate of intervention. In each study, we applied different combinations of elements of gamification. This is probably due to the excellent performance when the five factors of game mechanics and the six factors of game dynamics are adequately combined [2]. Therefore, to apply gamification to nursing education in the future, it is necessary to construct a scenario by considering the contextual combination of two detailed factors according to the contents of education and subject.

Research that applies gamification in nursing and Korea is at an early stage. In nursing education, where participant participation and motivation are important, gamification can amplify the educational effect. The results of this study are expected to increase the interest of nursing researchers in gamification, develop scenarios according to the situation, and confirm their effectiveness.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIP; Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning) (No. NRF-2017R1C1B5017641).

## References

- [1] J. T. Kim, “Dynamical model for gamification of learning (DMGL): Simulation of the four primary factors improving educational effectiveness in learning games,” Ph.D. dissertation, Chung-Ang University, (2014)
- [2] H. L. Lee, J. H. Woo, M. S. Park, D. Y. Lee, and E. J. Jeong, “A research on the effective development of gamification through a case study based on game mechanics and game dynamics,” Korean Society for Computer Game, vol.27, no.1, pp.141-151, (2014)
- [3] A. Khasianov, I. Shakhova, and B. Ganiev, “Gamification in higher education: Kazan Federal University primer,” eLearning & Software for Education, vol.1, pp.519-522, (2016)
- [4] K. M. Kapp, “The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education,” NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2012)
- [5] A. Liberati, D. G. Altman, J. Tetzlaff, C. Mulrow, P.C. Gøtzsche, J. P. Ioannidis, M. Clarke, P. J. Devereaux, J. Kleijnen, and D. Moher, “The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration,” The British Medical Journal, vol.338, (2009) DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100
- [6] B. Kwon and C. Lyou, “The meta-analysis of domestic gamification research: Status and suggest,” Korea Humanities Content Society, vol.39, pp.97-124, (2015)
- [7] Bunchball, Inc., “Gamification 101: An introduction to the use of game dynamics to influence behavior,” California: Bunchball, Inc. (2010)

***This page is empty by intention.***